

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 10, 2000 LB 1279

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Thank you, Mr. President. I, frankly, don't think the issue is as clear as Senator Chambers might indicate to you, and I will confess that in the past I have expressed extreme concern with the Department of Revenue when they did not carry out what I thought was clear legislative intent. I'm not going to make excuses for them about how they read the language that is in the law. But, if you also examine how the provision is structured and you see that there are (a), (b) and (c) categories of individuals who qualify for the benefit and, if you go back and carefully read the legislative history, I think it is reasonable to read the law as we are now suggesting. And I know that Senator Chambers is going to disagree, that's...that's the business that we're about. But it is not, it is not quite that clear, Senator Chambers, at least I don't think it's quite that clear and I hope you don't think that's a bad faith assertion. The other issue that I want to bring to your attention is that if, if the law is susceptible to the interpretation that is being urged on you by Senator Bourne, Senator Chambers and others, that the cost of extending disability homestead exemption benefits to all persons who have a permanent physical disability, whatever that is, by the way, we don't know what that is, presumably that would be anything that a doctor said it was, there ought to be a little bit more content to it than that, I believe, but whatever a...if all persons with "a permanent physical disability" qualify, then I think we would find that it would require a very substantial, additional appropriation to fund this particular program. Senator Bohlke, for example, had a bill last year to extend disability benefits. That was slightly different. I think in some respects it was broader than this proposal, in some respects it was narrower than this proposal, but it cost \$14 million. She had another proposal this year that was substantially narrower than the language that you would see before you, and I think the fiscal note for that bill was \$5 million. So there are a significant amount of dollars involved in this issue. And if you want to substantially broaden the homestead exemption to all those persons with "a permanent physical disability", then we ought to bring a bill to do that. That is not what we did. We brought a bill to you as an amendment, really, to Senator Preister's bill, that was intended to codify and to better express the language for the old disability exemption. Now that has always been an extremely